

PMATH 911: Assignment 3

Forte Shinko
20402671

Question 1

Part a

We proceed by induction on x .

For $x \equiv 0$, we need a term of type $(0 = 0) + (0 = 1)$. We have $\text{refl}_0 : 0 = 0$, so we can take $\text{inl}(\text{refl}_0)$. Similarly for $x \equiv 1$, we can take $\text{inr}(\text{refl}_1)$. \square

Part b

The uniqueness principle is as follows:

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \left((n = 0) + \sum_{m:\mathbb{N}} (n = \text{succ}(m)) \right)$$

To prove this, we proceed by induction on n .

For $n \equiv 0$, we need a term of type $(0 = 0) + \sum_{m:\mathbb{N}} (0 = \text{succ}(m))$. We have $\text{refl}_0 : 0 = 0$, so we can take $\text{inl}(\text{refl}_0)$.

For $n \equiv \text{succ}(k)$ and $p : (k = 0) + \sum_{m:\mathbb{N}} (k = \text{succ}(m))$ (which we do not need), we need a term of type $(\text{succ}(k) = 0) + \sum_{m:\mathbb{N}} (\text{succ}(k) = \text{succ}(m))$. We have $(k, \text{refl}_{\text{succ}(k)}) : \sum_{m:\mathbb{N}} (\text{succ}(k) = \text{succ}(m))$, so we can take $\text{inr}((k, \text{refl}_{\text{succ}(k)}))$. \square

Question 2

Part a

We need to prove the following:

$$\prod_{f,g:A \rightarrow \mathbf{1}} \prod_{x:A} (f(x) = g(x))$$

If we fix f, g, x , then we just need a term of type $f(x) = g(x)$. Recall that from the uniqueness principle of the unit type, there exist $p : f(x) = *$ and $q : g(x) = *$, so we are done since $=$ is an equivalence relation (explicitly we can take $p^{-1} \cdot q : f(x) = g(x)$). \square

Part b

Let $A \equiv \mathbf{Bool}$ and let $f \equiv \lambda x.0$ and $g \equiv \lambda x.1$. Then we need a term of type $(f \sim g) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$. Note that $f(*) \equiv 0$ and $g(*) \equiv 1$. Also recall that from class, we have $\phi : (0 = 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$. Using these facts, we can take $h : (f \sim g) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ defined by $h \equiv \lambda p.\phi(p(*))$ and we are done. \square

Question 3

We need a term of the following type:

$$\prod_{x,y,z:A} \prod_{p,q:x=y} \prod_{r:y=z} \prod_{\alpha:p=q} \alpha \diamond r = \text{ap}_{\cdot,r}(\alpha)$$

By path induction on p , we can take $y \equiv x$ and $p \equiv \text{refl}_x$. Then we have:

$$\prod_{x,z:A} \prod_{q:x=x} \prod_{r:x=z} \prod_{\alpha:\text{refl}_x=q} \alpha \diamond r = \text{ap}_{\cdot,r}(\alpha)$$

By path induction on α , we can take $q \equiv \text{refl}_x$ and $\alpha \equiv \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x}$. We can also path induct on r and take $z \equiv x$ and $r \equiv \text{refl}_x$. Then we have:

$$\prod_{x:A} \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x} \diamond \text{refl}_x = \text{ap}_{\cdot,\text{refl}_x}(\text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x})$$

By the computation rule for whiskering, we have:

$$\text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x} \diamond \text{refl}_x \equiv \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x}$$

By the computation rules for ap and composition, we have:

$$\text{ap}_{\cdot,\text{refl}_x}(\text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x}) \equiv \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x} \cdot \text{refl}_x \equiv \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x}$$

So a term of the desired type is just $\text{refl}_{\text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x}}$, and we are done. \square

Question 4

We will show that for any $f : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} C(n)$ satisfying recursion with respect to b and s , we have that $f \sim \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s)$, and the result follows since homotopy is an equivalence relation. Since f satisfies recursion, we have a term ϕ of the following type:

$$\phi : (f(0) = b) \times \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} f(\text{succ}(n)) = s(n, f(n))$$

The type $f \sim \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s)$ is defined as the following type:

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} (f(n) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, n))$$

To prove this, we proceed by induction on n . If $n \equiv 0$, we need a term of type $f(0) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, 0)$. Note that $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, 0) \equiv b$, so we just need a term of type $f(0) = b$. For this, we can take $\text{pr}_1(\phi)$.

For $n \equiv \text{succ}(k)$ and $p : f(k) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, k)$, we need a term of type $f(\text{succ}(k)) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, \text{succ}(k))$.

Note that $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, \text{succ}(k)) \equiv s(k, \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, k))$, so we just need a term of type $f(\text{succ}(k)) = s(k, \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, k))$.

We have $q \equiv \text{pr}_2(\phi)(k) : f(\text{succ}(k)) = s(k, f(k))$. Note also that $\text{ap}_{s(k,-)}(p) : s(k, f(k)) = s(k, \text{ind}_{\mathbb{N}}(C, b, s, k))$.

Thus our desired path is $q \cdot \text{ap}_{s(k,-)}(p)$. \square

Question 5

Before we do the question, note that for any $A : \mathcal{U}$, we always have $\text{ind}_0(A) : \mathbf{0} \rightarrow A$.

Now, suppose we have $C : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and we also have f of the following type:

$$f : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \left(\prod_{k:\mathbb{N}} (k < n) \rightarrow C(k) \right) \rightarrow C(n)$$

We wish to prove the following:

$$\prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} C(n)$$

Thus it suffices to find a term ϕ of the following type:

$$\phi : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} \prod_{k:\mathbb{N}} (k < n) \rightarrow C(k)$$

and then we can just take $\lambda n.f(n, \phi(n)) : \prod_{n:\mathbb{N}} C(n)$.

To find ϕ , we proceed by induction on n . We will also fix $k : \mathbb{N}$.

For $n \equiv 0$, we need $(k < 0) \rightarrow C(k)$. But since $(k < 0) \equiv \mathbf{0}$, by the first sentence there exists a term of type $\mathbf{0} \rightarrow C(k)$ and we are done.

When $n \equiv \text{succ}(m)$ and we have $g : (k < m) \rightarrow C(k)$, we need to find a term of type $(k < \text{succ}(m)) \rightarrow C(k)$. Note that $(k < \text{succ}(m)) \equiv (k < m) + (k = m)$. Thus to find a term of type $(k < \text{succ}(m)) \rightarrow C(k)$, it suffices to find a term of type $(k < m) \rightarrow C(k)$ and $(k = m) \rightarrow C(k)$. We already have $g : (k < m) \rightarrow C(k)$, so remains to find a term of type $(k = m) \rightarrow C(k)$. First of all, note that we have $f(m, g) : C(m)$. Thus using transport, we get $\lambda p.\text{transport}^C(p^{-1}, f(m, g)) : (k = m) \rightarrow C(k)$. \square